## Hanford Advisory Board

## River & Plateau Committee (Joint topics with PIC)

**Date:** Tuesday, January 10, 2012 **Time:** 9:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m.

**Location:** Washington State Department of Ecology, Rooms 3A/3B, 3100 Port of

Benton Blvd, Richland, WA

Call-in: Tri-City participants: 376-3622, enter conference code 6534566#

Long distance participants: 1-877-401-5229, enter conference code 6534566#

GoToMeeting: https://www3.gotomeeting.com/join/390029886; Meeting ID: 390-029-886

Time	Topic	Lead / Outcome
9:00 a.m.	Opening  Welcome and introductions	Pam Larsen, Chair
	<ul> <li>Approval of December Committee meeting summary</li> <li>Announcements</li> </ul>	Outcome: Action on December meeting summary
9:15 a.m.	Record of Decision: PW-1,3,6/CW-5  Purpose: 1) To receive an update from Vince Panesko on discussions with DOE re: plutonium in groundwater monitoring wells under the cribs; 2) to review and refine a draft letter regarding the ROD from HAB to TPA agencies prepared by the issue managers; 3) to identify the next steps for "looking ahead" (e.g. advice on more technical issues for the work plan, advice regarding future RODs, etc.)  Introduction: Shelley Cimon (5)  Comments: Vince Panesko (10)  Review of draft letter: Shelley Cimon (10)  Agency perspectives: DOE-RL, EPA, Ecology (10)  Committee discussion  Lunch	Shelley Cimon, Lead Issue Manager Vince Panesko, Issue Manager Greg Sinton, DOE-RL Emy Laija, EPA  Outcomes:  1. Version 2, Draft HAB Letter 2. Next steps for advice re: technical issues for the work plan, future RODs, etc.
11:45 a.m.	River Corridor Cleanup, using 100 K as an Example  Purpose: 1) To receive an overview of the draft 100 K Proposed Plan; 2) to understand how cleanup levels are being set for the River  Corridor, using applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs), and risk assessments; 3) to understand how risk assessments inform the remedial investigation and feasibility study (RI/FS), using the errata table from the draft 100 K Proposed Plan as an example; 4) to discuss what the TPA agencies need from the HAB (e.g. input on cleanup levels, input on risk assessments, etc.); 5) to discuss where the HAB may wish to provide input beyond that sought by the TPA agencies.  Introduction: Dale Engstrom (5)  Overview of the draft 100 K Proposed Plan: DOE-RL (15)  Detailed review of the errata table, draft 100 K	Dale Engstrom, Lead Issue Manager Jim Hansen, DOE-RL Chris Guzzetti, EPA Brenda Jentzen, Ecology  Outcomes: A better understanding of the River Corridor cleanup process, through a better understanding of the draft 100 K Proposed Plan.

RAP January 2012 v1 Page 1 of 2

## Hanford Advisory Board

	Proposed Plan: DOE-RL (30)	
	<ul> <li>HAB input sought: DOE-RL, EPA, Ecology (10)</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>Regulator Perspectives: EPA, Ecology (5)</li></ul>	
	Committee discussion	
1:15 p.m.	Break	•
1:30 p.m.	Draft A, 100 K Proposed Plan	Dale Engstrom, Lead Issue
	Purpose: 1) To receive an update on the progress of agency	Manager
	negotiations; 2) to determine if the RAP Committee will develop	Jim Hansen, DOE-RL
	draft advice for the February Board meeting.	Chris Guzzetti, EPA
	<ul><li>Introduction: Dale Engstrom (5)</li></ul>	Brenda Jentzen, Ecology
	<ul> <li>Update on agency negotiations: DOE-RL, EPA, Ecology (15)</li> </ul>	-
	<ul> <li>Committee discussion, including development of draft</li> </ul>	Outcomes:
	advice points (if determined by the Committee to be necessary)	An understanding of the progress of agency negotiations
		Draft advice points (if necessary)
2:45 p.m.	Committee Business	Susan Hayman
	<ul> <li>Review follow up./action items</li> </ul>	
	Review 6-month work plan	
	■ Schedule RAP Committee call, January 17	
3:00 p.m.	Adjourn	

NOTE: The Hanford Site-wide Permit Issue Managers will meet with representatives from Ecology and DOE-RL from 3:15-5:00 p.m. in this same room.

RAP January 2012 v1 Page 2 of 2